

returned by the Administrator doth adjudge, order and decree that both D. Williams and of Brown & Hannum do & pay to the plaintiffs their costs by them in this suit expended.

Joseph Willitt, & Joshua Willitt

against
John S. Wisely, Joseph Smith, & Mary his wife, Alfred Nelson, & Elizabeth his wife, Charles Mortimer, & Elliott & Fry

Plffs } In Chancery
Defts.

The Defendants Charles Mortimer & Elliott & Fry this day by leave of the Court filed their answers to the plaintiffs bill, to which the plaintiffs replied generally.

Anthony M. Stewart, Gordon C. Johnson, & John Rose who sue for their selves & others who may come in and bear their proportion of the expenses of this suit

against
Case Remond, Elliott & Fry, Joseph W. Smith, & Mary his wife, Alfred Nelson, & Elizabeth his wife, Charles Mortimer, John S. Wisely

Plffs }
Defts.

The Defendant Charles Mortimer this day by leave of the Court, filed his answer to the plaintiffs bill, to which the plaintiffs replied generally.

Elizabeth Hodges

against
Edward C. Crompton

Plff } In Chancery
Defts }

1855

Defts

This cause came on this day to be again heard upon the papers formerly read and upon the report of Commissioner, Colts filed & heard pursuant to the decree pronounced in the 9th day of November 1855, to which report no exceptions have been filed (and was argued by Counsel, On consideration whereof the Court doth confirm said report, and doth adjudge, order and decree that the defendant Edward C. Crompton pay to the plaintiff Elizabeth Hodges or to R. M. Baker Jr his attorney, the sum of five hundred and seventy six dollars and fifty six cents with legal interest thereon from 27th day of June 1854, till paid, and that the said defendant pay the costs of this cause.

Sam^l. Drury who sues for himself and all other creditors of Henry F. Beidle dec^d who may prove their debts and contribute to the expense of this suit

against
Thomas M. Wigham & Co^{rs}, Henry Beidle, Sally C. Beidle
& Thomas M. Beidle

Plffs }
Defts }

It appearing to the Court that since the institution of this suit the female defendant Sarah C. Beidle has intermarried with Caleb C. Vick by consent of the parties, the Court doth order that this suit be moved against the said Caleb C. Vick and his wife Sarah C. who this day filed their answers by leave of the Court, and the bill of the complainant having been taken for confessed as to the defendant Thomas M. Beidle, & Thomas M. Wigham & Co^{rs} of Henry F. Beidle dec^d, and the cause coming on to be heard in the bill and exhibits and the answers of the defendants Caleb C. Vick and wife, was argued by Counsel, On consideration whereof the Court doth adjudge, order and decree that a Commissioner of

1855
2764